Richmond Journal of Law & Technology University of Richmond School of Law

Volume XIV, Issue 4 Spring, 2008 http://law.richmond.edu/jolt Richmond Journal of Law & Technology

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LETTER FROM THE EDITOR

MASTHEAD

ARTICLES

11. PATENTING PART-HUMAN CHIMERAS, TRANSGENICS, AND STEM CELLS FOR TRANSPLANTATION IN THE UNITED STATES, CANADA, AND EUROPE By: Gregory Hagen & Sébastien A. Gittens

12. MARY DOE'S DESTINY: HOW THE UNITED STATES HAS BANNED HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELL RESEARCH IN THE ABSENCE OF A DIRECT PROHIBITION By: Yi-Chen Su & Albert Wai-Kit Chan

13. PERFECT ENFORCEMENT OF LAW: WHEN TO LIMIT AND WHEN TO USE TECHNOLOGY By: Christina M. Mulligan April 22, 2008

Richmond Journal of Law & Technology University of Richmond School of Law 28 Westhampton Way University of Richmond, Virginia 23173

Dear Readers,

The *Richmond Journal of Law and Technology* is proud to present its final issue of the 2007–2008 academic school year. Our authors tackle a number of emerging issues in ways we think you'll find especially interesting.

Two of our articles discuss human embryonic stem cell research. Professor Greg Hagen and Sébastien A. Gittens discuss the uncertain application of the biological criteria that are currently used in the United States, Canada, and Europe to distinguish between patentable and unpatentable subject matter in their article, "Patenting Part-Human Chimeras, Transgenics, and Stem Cells for Transplantation in the United States, Canada, and Europe."

Then, authors Yi-Chen Su and Albert Wai-Kit Chan take a close look at the United States' positions on stem cell research. The authors explain how certain measures taken by Congress and the Bush Administration have resulted in a ban on human embryonic stem cell research despite direct legislation on point.

Finally, author Christina M. Mulligan addresses ways in which technology can be utilized to enforce law. In her article, "*Perfect Enforcement of Law: When to Limit and When to Use Technology*," Ms. Mulligan discusses the potential pitfalls of using technological measures to enforce legal rights.

The *Journal* is especially grateful for the continuing support and guidance of the faculty and staff at the University of Richmond, most especially the guidance we receive on a regular basis from our advisor, Professor Melanie Holloway. Richmond Journal of Law & Technology

Volume XIV, Issue 4

We hope you enjoy Issue Four. On behalf of the entire 2007-2008 *Richmond Journal of Law and Technology* staff, I extend our deepest gratitude and sincerest thanks for your readership and support. Thank you for visiting the *Journal's* website, and as always, comments and suggestions are welcome from our readers at jolt@richmond.edu.

Sincerely,

Gilen Refeller

Eileen R Geller Editor-in-Chief

2007-2008 EDITORIAL BOARD

Eileen R. Geller Editor-in-Chief

Jennifer L. Jones	Stephen P. Gangemi	Emily P. Bishop
Managing Editor	Executive Editor	Manuscripts Editor
Jill D. Hutchens	Christopher S. Crook	Brian J. Hoade
Manuscripts Editor	Technical Editor	Solicitations Editor
Marie A. DeForest	Jennifer F. Sullivan	Allison M. Hundstad*
Articles Editor	Articles Editor	Notes & Comments
		Editor
Yuka Ito	Anna-Liisa Jacobson	Benjamin D. Silbert*

Annual Survey Editor

Copy Editor External Affairs Editor

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

Victoria AllenBrian BoysBridget MurrayTechnicalSolicitationsExternal AffairsMegan BrooksElizabethHafeyIManuscriptsManuscripts

Bridget MurrayJessica YokeExternal AffairsAnnual SurveyHafeyHeather WalczakriptsManuscripts

SENIOR STAFF

Victoria Allen Brian Boys Megan Brooks Meghan Ferris Sarah Flynn Jetti Gibson* Elizabeth Hafey Rob Hunter Matt Jones Bridget Murray Harry Parent Will Rabke Heather Walczak Jessica Yoke

ASSOCIATE STAFF

Tayloe Gwathmey

Brian Kirby

FACULTY ADVISOR

Professor Melanie Holloway

* Denotes Publication with the Journal